

i've seen the negative effects of media consolidation and wanted to let you know that there are people in the public that don't agree with loosening of the rules regarding media ownership. San Francisco used to be a two newspaper city, now it's basically one subpar news paper.

now it's happening on the radio. stations that used to give voice to the people are dying off. there are fewer places for the public to voice their views. especially stations with a large listenership.

some might argue that the numbers tell the story. but that's just because there are less choices. (and the fact that many citizens seem to act like sheep). it's important for the large masses to hear viewpoints from all sides. so it would seem the responsibility of a governing body like the FCC to make sure this happens.

and we see many examples where consolidation of media squashes this opportunity. i don't know any examples of a positive result. except in the pockets of the fewer and fewer owners.